BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of)	
Implementation of the Pay Telephone)	CC Docket No. 96-128
Reclassification and Compensation Provisions)	
Of the Telecommunications Act of 1996)	
)	
The Southern Public Communication Association's,)	
Petition for A Declaratory Ruling Regarding the Remedies)	
Available for Violations of the Commission's Payphone)	
Orders)	

MOTION OF THE SOUTHERN PUBLIC COMMUNICATION ASSOCIATION TO CONSOLIDATE ITS PETITION FOR A DECLARATORY RULING WITH THE PETITION FOR A DECLARATORY RULING OF THE ILLINOIS PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION

The Southern Public Communication Association ("SPCA"), pursuant to Rule 1.227, hereby moves the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") to consolidate its Petition For A Declaratory Ruling dated November 9, 2004, with the Commission's consideration and hearing of the Petition for A Declaratory Ruling dated July 30, 2004 filed by the Illinois Public Communications Association ("ITPA") in CC Docket No. 96-128. In support of the Motion the SPCA would show as follows:

- 1. The SPCA is a Louisiana not-for-profit trade association representing 14 independent payphone providers in Mississippi. BellSouth is an incumbent local exchange carrier in Mississippi and a Bell Operating Company as defined in 47 U.S.C. § 153(4).
- 2. The Mississippi Public Service Commission ("MPSC"), by its Order dated September 1, 2004 (in MPSC Docket No. 2003-AD-927) granted a Motion by BellSouth

¹ See The Illinois Public Telecommunications Association's Petition for A Declaratory Ruling Regarding the Remedies Available for Violations of the Commission's Payphone Orders dated July 30, 2004 in CC Docket 96-128.

Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") for a dismissal of the Complaint of the SPCA against BellSouth for a refund to SPCA's members of Pay Telephone Access Service ("PTAS") rates. The Complaint had requested refunds from BellSouth to the extent BellSouth had charged PTAS rates from April 15, 1997 to October 1, 2003, (including "the amount of the federally tariffed SLC" charges charged by BellSouth as part of the monthly line charge) in excess of the new services test, in violation of the Commission's *Payphone Orders*² and of its *Wisconsin Order*.³

3. The SPCA, on behalf of its members, has petitioned the Commission for a declaratory ruling as to the consequences and remedies available for an ILEC's violation of the Commission's *Payphone Orders* and of its *Wisconsin Order* requiring the provision from and after April 15, 1997 of network services to PSPs at cost-based rates that satisfy the new services test. The SPCA further requested a specific Commission declaratory ruling: (1) that the MPSC had an obligation to follow and apply the new services test mandated by Section 276 and the Commission's *Payphone Orders* and *Wisconsin Order*, taking into account BellSouth's tacit admission by its tariff filing effective October 1, 2003 that its prior PSP line rates had been out of compliance with the new services test, including the requirement for elimination from the line rate of "the amount of the federally tariffed SLC" charges; (2) that the MPSC should not have

² In the matter of the Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification And Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Report and Order, 11 FCC Rcd. 20541, ¶¶ 146-147 (September 20, 1996) ("First Payphone Order"), and Order of Reconsideration, 11 FCC Rcd. 21233 (November 8, 1996), ¶¶ 131, 163 ("Payphone Reconsideration Order") aff'd in part and remanded in part sub nom. Illinois Public Telecommunications Assn. v. FCC, 117 F.3d 555 (D.C. Cir. 1997) clarified on rehearing 123 F.3d 693 (D.C. Cir. 1997) cert. den. sub nom. Virginia State Corp. Com'n v. FCC, 523 U.S. 1046 (1998); Order, DA 97-678, 12 FCC Rcd. 20997, ¶¶ 2, 30-33, 35 (Com. Car. Bur. released April 4, 1997) ("First Bureau Waiver Order"); Order, DA 97-805, 12 FCC Rcd. 21370, ¶ 10 (Com. Car. Bur. released April 15, 1997) ("Second Bureau Waiver Order") (collectively "Payphone Orders").

³ In re Wisconsin Public Service Commission, Order Directing Filings, FCC Memorandum Opinion and Order Bureau, FCC 02-25, 17 FCC Rcd. 2051 ¶¶ 61, 68(January 31, 2002) ("Wisconsin Order"); affirmed sub nom. New England Public Communications Council, Inc. v. Federal Communications Commission, 334 F.3d 69 (DC Cir. 2003).

summarily dismissed the action of SPCA's Complaint without an evidentiary hearing because as a matter of preemptive federal law the SPCA had a right to pursue a cause of action in the MPSC for refunds for any period of time prior to the filing of the Complaint it could show that BellSouth had been out of compliance with the new services test; (3) that the PSP members of the SPCA are entitled to refunds or reparations from BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. of the amounts BellSouth charged said SPCA members from April 15, 1997 through the effective date of the new PSP line rate tariff filing, October 1, 2003, for network services to the extent that the rates and charges were in excess of the cost-based rates of the Commission's new services test, including a refund of the amount of applicable federally tariffed SLC included in the monthly per line charge; (4) that the MPSC should re-evaluate its dismissal of the claims of the Complaint for refunds or reparations to ensure compliance with the Commission's rulings; (5) whether BellSouth was eligible to receive dial-around compensation for access code and toll free calls originating from their payphones on or before October 1, 2003; and (6) for such other relief arising from the facts in MPSC Docket No. 2003-AD-927 as the Commission deems necessary to enforce the Commission's *Payphone Orders* and its *Wisconsin Order*.

- 4. Quite similarly, the IPTA Petition for a Declaratory Ruling dated July 30, 2004, seeks a Commission declaratory ruling:
 - 1) that the PSP members of the IPTA are entitled to refunds or reparations from ILECs from April 15, 1997 through a date certain, for network services to the extent that the rates and charges were in excess of cost-based rates of the Commission's new services test;
 - 2) that the ICC decision denying the IPTA members refunds or reparations is inconsistent with the Commission's Payphone Orders and that the ICC should reevaluate its denial of refunds or reparations to ensure compliance with the Commission's rulings; and
 - 3) whether the ILECs were eligible to receive dial-around compensation

compensation for access code and toll free calls originating from their payphones

prior to the filing of new tariffs to comply with the new services test.⁴

5. The SPCA's Petition for a Declaratory Ruling dated July 30, 2004, involves

substantially the same issues as the issues presented by IPTA's Petition for a Declaratory Ruling.

Both petitions seek a declaratory ruling involving the Commission's interpretation of rights and

remedies of PSPs under Section 276 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, including the

Commission's declaratory ruling as to the consequences and remedies available for an ILEC's

violation of the Commission's orders requiring the provision from and after April 15, 1997 of

network services to PSPs at cost-based rates that satisfy the new services test. A consolidation of

the two Petitions for a Declaratory Ruling would be most conducive to the proper dispatch of the

Commission's business and to the ends of justice.

WHEREFORE, the SPCA move the Commission to consolidate its Petition For A

Declaratory Ruling dated November 9, 2004, with the Commission's consideration and hearing

of the Petition for A Declaratory Ruling dated July 30, 2004 filed by IPTA in CC Docket 96-128.

Respectfully submitted,

THE SOUTHERN PUBLIC COMMUNICATION

ASSOCIATION

Its Attorney

4 See The Illinois Public Telecommunications Association's Petition for A Declaratory Ruling Regarding the Remedies Available for Violations of the Commission's Payphone Orders dated July 30, 2004 in CC Docket 96-128 at pp. 3, 18.

4

OF COUNSEL:

Robert P. Wise
WISE CARTER CHILD & CARAWAY, P.A.
401 East Capitol Street, Suite 600
Post Office Box 651
Jackson, Mississippi 39205
Tel: 601-968-5561
Facsimile: 601-968-5593
rpw@wisecarter.com
www.mslawyer.com/rwise

November 9, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Robert P. Wise, do hereby certify that I have this day caused to be mailed by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Motion of the SPCA for a consolidation as follows:

Mr. Brian U. Ray, Executive Secretary Mississippi Public Service Commission 2nd Floor, Woolfolk State Office Building Jackson, Mississippi 39201

David L. Campbell, Esq. Mississippi Public Service Commission 2nd Floor, Woolfolk State Office Building Jackson, Mississippi 39201

Allison Fry, Esq. Mississippi Public Service Commission 2nd Floor, Woolfolk State Office Building Jackson, Mississippi 39201

Thomas B. Alexander, Esq. General Counsel – Mississippi BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 175 E. Capitol Street Suite 790, Landmark Center Jackson, Mississippi 39201

John C. Henegan, Esq.
Butler Snow O'Mara Stevens & Cannada, PLLC 17th Floor, AmSouth Plaza
P.O. Box 22567
Jackson, Mississippi 39225-2567

Meredith E. Mays, Esq.
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
Suite 4300
675 W. Peachtree St., N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30375

I, Robert P. Wise, do hereby further certify that I have this day caused a copy of the

foregoing SPCA Petition to be served by electronic mail or U.S. Mail, on the following parties as

indicated below:

By Electronic Mail

Jon Stover
Pricing Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 5A-365
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554
jon.stover@ffc.gov

Best Copy and Printing, Inc. Portals II 445 12th Street SW Room CY-B402 Washington, D.C. 20554 fcc@bcpiweb.com

By U.S. Mail

Albert H. Kramer, Esq.
Robert F. Aldrich, Esq.
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP
2101 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-1526
Counsel for the American Public Communications Council

Michael W. Ward, Esq. Illinois Public Telecommunications Association 1608 Barclay Blvd. Buffalo Grove, Illinois 60089

Paul C. Besozzi, Esq.
Patton Boggs LLP
2550 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037
Counsel for New England Public Communications Council, Inc.

Aaron M. Panner, Esq.
Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd & Evans, P.L.L.C.
1615 M Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., SBC
Communications, Inc., and the Verizon telephone companies

David S. Tobin, Esq.
Tobin & Reyes, P.A.
7251 West Palmetto Park Road
Suite 205
Boca Raton, Florida 33433
Counsel for Florida Public Telecommunications Association, Inc.

Keith J. Roland, Esq.
Roland, Fogel, Koblenz & Petroccione, LLP
1 Columbia Place
Albany, New York 12207
Counsel for Independent Payphone Association of New York, Inc.

Brooks E. Harlow, Esq.
David L. Rice, Esq.
Miller Nash LLP
4400 Two Union Square
601 Union Street
Seattle, Washington 98101
Counsel for Northwest Public Communications Counsel

Craig D. Joyce, Esq.
Walters & Joyce, P.C.
2015 York Street
Denver, Colorado 80205
Counsel for Colorado Payphone Association

Gregory Ludvigsen, Esq.
Ludvigsen's Law Offices
1360 University Avenue, West
St. Paul, Minnesota 55104-4086
Counsel for Minnesota Independent Payphone Association

Matthew L. Harvey
Christine F. Ericson
Deputy Solicitor General
John P. Kelliher
Solicitor General
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N. LaSalle Street
Suite C-800
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Howard Meister President Payphone Association of Ohio 1785 East 45th Street Cleveland, Ohio 44103

This the 9th day of November, 2004.

Robert P. Wise

OF COUNSEL:

Robert P. Wise WISE CARTER CHILD & CARAWAY, P.A. 401 East Capitol Street, Suite 600 Post Office Box 651 Jackson, Mississippi 39205 Tel: 601-968-5561 Facsimile: 601-968-5593

Facsimile: 601-968-5593 rpw@wisecarter.com www.mslawyer.com/rwise